UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,775	12/02/2003	Cheng-Yin Lee	ALC 3100	1920
30868 KRAMER & A	7590 04/17/200 MADO, P.C.	EXAMINER		
1725 DUKE ST SUITE 240		MILLS, DONALD L		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2416	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	10/724,775	LEE, CHENG-YIN				
merview dummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DONALD L. MILLS	2416				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>DONALD L. MILLS</u> .	(3) <u>Terry Kramer</u> .					
(2) <u>Larry Stein</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>15 April 2009</u> .						
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	²)⊠ applicant's representative	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 9</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Sing et al. (2003/0088698 A1)</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)⊟ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussion of claim amendments</u> , <u>such as redundant hub node functionality and the hub nodes operation as root nodes</u> , <u>were discussed</u> , <u>which are not taught by the prior art of record</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF T	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APP / DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO			
/Donald L Mills/ Examiner, Art Unit 2416						

Application No.

Applicant(s)